

DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. MANDEL.

[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Mandel*, 102 Ohio St.3d 1216, 2004-Ohio-2494.]

(No. 2000-1108 — Submitted April 14, 2004 — Decided May 3, 2004.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

{¶1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing on June 5, 2003, of a petition for reinstatement by respondent, Stewart Mandel, a.k.a. Stewart Irwin Mandel, Attorney Registration No. 0026161. In accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(10)(F), respondent's petition for reinstatement was referred to the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. The board filed its Final Report in this court on December 24, 2003, recommending that respondent be denied reinstatement to the practice of law in Ohio. Respondent filed objections to said Final Report and relator filed an answer. Upon consideration thereof,

{¶2} IT IS ORDERED by this court that the petition for reinstatement of respondent be, and hereby is, granted, and that respondent, Stewart I. Mandel, last known address in University Heights, Ohio, be, and hereby is, reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

{¶3} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that respondent be taxed the costs of these proceedings in the amount of \$254.74, less the deposit of \$500, for a total balance to be refunded to respondent of \$245.26.

{¶4} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, by the court, that within 90 days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded against the respondent by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered, sua sponte, by the court that if, after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

the respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F), the respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within 90 days of the notice of such award.

{¶5} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

{¶6} IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, LUNDBERG STRATTON and O'DONNELL, JJ.,
concur.

MOYER, C.J., PFEIFER and O'CONNOR, JJ., dissent.
