

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

February 23, 2007

[Cite as *02/23/2007 Case Announcements, 2007-Ohio-723.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2006-2244. Oreo Corp. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2005-T-135. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of parties' joint motion to remand this cause to the Board of Tax Appeals,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and this cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to implement the settlement agreement of the parties.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2005-0785. State v. Cloud.

Columbiana App. No. 01 CO 64, 2005-Ohio-1331. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Columbiana County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2007-0287. State v. Pese.

Portage App. No. 2006-P-0084. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2006-1600. In re Resignation of Trybus.

On affidavit of resignation from the practice of law of Donald Joseph Trybus, Attorney Registration No. 0074487, and on report filed under seal by Disciplinary Counsel. Resignation accepted.

2006-1887. In re Resignation of O'Brien.

On affidavit of resignation from the practice of law of Daniel L. O'Brien, Attorney Registration No. 0070531, and on report filed under seal by Disciplinary Counsel. Resignation accepted with disciplinary action pending.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

2005-1930. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Bailey.

On September 6, 2006, this court issued an order finding that respondent had engaged in the unauthorized practice of law, enjoined him from actions constituting the unauthorized practice of law, imposed a civil penalty in the amount of \$50,000, and ordered respondent to pay board costs in the amount of \$4,218.13. On January 26, 2007, respondent filed a motion for stay of judgment. Relator filed a memo opposing the motion. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that respondent's motion for stay of judgment is denied.

In re Report of the Commission
On Continuing Legal Education.

CLE-04-39968

John Douglas Karlovec
(#0039968),
Respondent.

E N T R Y

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education ("commission") pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2002-2003 reporting period.

On April 8, 2005, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the

respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7); respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio; respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court; and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On January 18, 2007, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2) finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies, and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. The commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court's order of suspension. Respondent has satisfied all the requirements of this court's order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission is adopted and respondent, John Douglas Karlovec, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

1. Amendments to the Rules of Superintendence for the Courts of Ohio (domestic violence and stalking protection order forms) have been adopted, effective May 1, 2007.