

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 21415
v.	:	T.C. NO. 2005 CR 1212
	:	
ROBBIE LEE TURNER, JR.	:	(Criminal Appeal from
	:	Common Pleas Court)
Defendant-Appellant	:	
	:	

OPINION

Rendered on the 17th day of November, 2006.

JILL R. SINK, Atty. Reg. No. 0076955, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5th Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

JOHN W. VOGEL, Atty. Reg. No. 0071169, P. O. Box 340873, Columbus, Ohio 43234
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

DONOVAN, J.

{¶ 1} This matter is before the court on the Notice of Appeal of Robbie Lee Turner filed December 28, 2005. Robbie Lee Turner entered a guilty plea to one count of Having Weapons Under Disability (prior drug conviction), a felony of the third degree. On December 6, 2005, Turner was sentenced to two years in prison. Turner appeals his sentence, asserting two assignments of error as follows:

{¶ 2} “THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN RULING THAT THE STATE MET ITS BURDEN OF PROOF THAT PROPER WARNINGS WERE GIVEN TO DEFENDANT UNDER *MIRANDA V. ARIZONA*, 384 U.S. 436; 86 S.CT., 1602; 16 L.ED.2D 694 (1966). and

{¶ 3} “THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN RULING THAT THE STATE MET ITS BURDEN OF PROOF THAT STATEMENTS WERE VOLUNTARILY GIVEN TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS.”

{¶ 4} We shall address these assignments of error together. Turner argues that the City of Kettering’s failure to use audio and video taping accessible to them during his interrogation renders his confession inadmissible. He also argues that the law should be changed so that his guilty plea is not a bar to this court’s consideration of the merits of his argument.

{¶ 5} However, the law is clear. Crim.R. 11(B)(1) provides that “a plea of guilty is a complete admission of the defendant’s guilt.” Thus, Turner has waived his right to appeal the court’s ruling on his motion to suppress. A defendant who enters a voluntary plea of guilty waives all non-jurisdictional defects in prior stages of the proceedings. *State v. Brooks*, Montgomery App. No. 19152, 2002-Ohio-5527 (internal citations omitted). Counsel for Turner recognizes this waiver occurred but argues for a change in the law, suggesting Turner’s plea was “factually coerced.” This argument is made without any legal authority to support it and is entirely inconsistent with years of binding precedent.

{¶ 6} Lastly, counsel asserts he is bringing this brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). In *Anders*, the United States Supreme Court held that if counsel, after a conscientious examination of the case,

determines an appeal to be wholly frivolous, he or she should so advise the court and request permission to withdraw. This was not done in this matter. Accordingly, we decline to independently review the record, as counsel has set forth two assignments of error.

{¶ 7} Turner’s assignments of error are overruled and the judgment of conviction is affirmed.

.....

WOLFF, J. and FAIN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

- Jill R. Sink
- John W. Vogel
- Hon. G. Jack Davis, Jr.